

THE MIRROR QUINTIC AS A QUINTIC

CHRISTIAN MEYER

1. INTRODUCTION

The general quintic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ is the most famous example of a Calabi–Yau threefold for which mirror symmetry has been investigated in detail. There is a description of the mirror as a hypersurface in a certain weighted projective space. In this note we present a model for the mirror which is again (the resolution of) a quintic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^4$. We also deal with the special members in the respective families. They lead to rigid Calabi–Yau threefolds with interesting arithmetical properties.

In the last section we try to find a similarly nice model for the mirror of the complete intersection of two cubics in $\mathbb{P}^5$. We also formulate a general question about mirror models.

Some of the results of this note are contained in the author’s thesis ([7]) which can also be used as an introduction to modularity of Calabi–Yau threefolds.

2. THE QUINTIC

Let $X_\mu \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the quintic threefold defined by the equation

$$x_0^5 + x_1^5 + x_2^5 + x_3^5 + x_4^5 - 5\mu x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 0.$$

If μ is general (i.e., no 5-th root of unity and not 0 or ∞) then X_μ is smooth and so a Calabi–Yau threefold. Its invariants are

$$\chi(X_\mu) = -200, \quad h^{1,1}(X_\mu) = 1, \quad h^{2,1}(X_\mu) = 101.$$

On X_μ there is an action of the group $G \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^3$ generated by the coordinate transformations

$$(x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5) \mapsto (x_0 : x_1 \cdot \xi_5^{\lambda_1} : x_2 \cdot \xi_5^{\lambda_2} : x_3 \cdot \xi_5^{\lambda_3} : x_4 \cdot \xi_5^{\lambda_4})$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J32, 14G10.

Key words and phrases. Calabi–Yau, mirror symmetry, modular forms.

Partially supported by DFG Schwerpunktprogramm 1094 (Globale Methoden in der komplexen Geometrie). The author also thanks D. van Straten for helpful discussions.

with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and ξ_5 a fixed primitive 5-th root of unity.

The mirror partner of X_μ can be described as a resolution of the quotient X_μ/G . In fact this example was the first for which mirror symmetry was used to make enumerative predictions (Candelas, de la Ossa, Green and Parkes in [2]), and it is still by far the most prominent example in the mirror symmetry business. There is a lot of literature; a good starting point is the book of Cox and Katz ([5], chapter 2 and section 4.2). Morrison ([8]) describes in detail the resolution of singularities of the mirror, and Candelas, de la Ossa and Rodriguez-Villegas ([3], [4]) discuss the zeta functions.

If μ is a 5-th root of unity then X_μ has 125 ordinary double points (A_1 singularities, also called *nodes*) as only singularities, namely the points on the orbit of the point $(1 : \mu : \mu : \mu : \mu)$ under the action of the group G . The threefold $X := X_1$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and especially interesting for arithmetical purposes. Schoen ([9]) discussed the *modularity* of X , i.e., he determined the connection between the arithmetic of X over finite fields and a certain weight four modular form. We will briefly recall his results.

Let $\tilde{X}$ be a small resolution of X . Then $\tilde{X}$ has Euler characteristic

$$\chi(\tilde{X}) = -200 + 2 \cdot 125 = 50$$

and Hodge numbers

$$h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) = 25, \quad h^{2,1}(\tilde{X}) = 0.$$

The defect of X is $d(X) = h^{1,1}(\tilde{X}) - 1 = 24 > 0$. Since the group G acts transitively on the set of nodes of X there exist projective small resolutions (cf. [10], chapter IV). Equivalently this may be deduced from the existence of smooth quadric surfaces on X containing all the nodes (cf. [9]). For instance, the node $(1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1)$ is contained in the smooth quadric surface Q given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + \xi_5 x_1 + \xi_5^2 x_2 + \xi_5^3 x_3 + \xi_5^4 x_4 = \\ x_0 x_1 + \xi_5 x_0 x_2 + \xi_5^2 x_0 x_3 + \xi_5^3 x_0 x_4 + \xi_5^2 x_1 x_2 \\ + \xi_5^3 x_1 x_3 + \xi_5^4 x_1 x_4 + \xi_5^4 x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_4 + \xi_5 x_3 x_4 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_5 is a primitive 5-th root of unity.

Schoen ([9]) proves that for all primes p of good reduction for X (i.e., $p \neq 5$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_p^* | H_{\text{ét}}^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) &= a_p \\ &= \begin{cases} p^3 + 25p^2 - 100p + 1 - \#X_p, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \\ p^3 + p^2 + 1 - \#X_p, & p \equiv 4 \pmod{5}, \\ p^3 + p^2 + 2p + 1 - \#X_p, & p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Here Frob_p^* denotes the map on l -adic cohomology which is induced by the geometric Frobenius, $\#X_p$ denotes the number of points on X over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$, and a_p are the coefficients of a certain weight four newform for $\Gamma_0(25)$.

3. THE MIRROR

Let $Y_\mu \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be the quintic threefold defined by the equation

$$(x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4)^5 - (5\mu)^5 x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 = 0.$$

For general μ (i.e., μ is no 5-th root of unity and not 0 or ∞) the singular locus of Y_μ consists of the 10 lines given by

$$x_i = x_j = x_k + x_l + x_m = 0$$

where $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. If μ is a 5-th root of unity then there is an additional singularity at the point $(1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1)$. This is an ordinary node.

There is a rational dominant map $X_\mu \rightarrow Y_\mu$ induced by

$$\phi : \mathbb{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4, \quad (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4) \mapsto (x_0^5 : x_1^5 : x_2^5 : x_3^5 : x_4^5).$$

The map is generically $125 : 1$. The degree reduces to $25 : 1$ on the singular lines and to $5 : 1$ on the 10 intersection points of three lines (i.e., the points on the orbit of $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1 : -1)$ under permutation of coordinates). Let A denote the union of the 10 singular lines and let B denote the set containing the 10 intersection points. We can now relate the Euler characteristic of a general Y_μ to that of X_μ :

$$\begin{aligned} -200 &= \chi(X_\mu) = 125 \cdot \chi(Y_\mu \setminus A) + 25 \cdot \chi(A \setminus B) + 5 \cdot \chi(B) \\ &= 125 \cdot \chi(Y_\mu \setminus A) + 25 \cdot 10 \cdot (2 - 3) + 5 \cdot 10 \\ &= 125 \cdot \chi(Y_\mu \setminus A) - 200, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\chi(Y_\mu \setminus A) = 0$ and

$$\chi(Y_\mu) = \chi(Y_\mu \setminus A) + \chi(A) = 0 + 10 \cdot 2 - 2 \cdot 10 = 0.$$

If μ is a 5-th root of unity then we set $Y := Y_\mu = Y_1$ and we find

$$\begin{aligned} -75 = \chi(X) &= 125 \cdot \chi(Y \setminus A) + 25 \cdot \chi(A \setminus B) + 5 \cdot \chi(B) \\ &= 125 \cdot \chi(Y \setminus A) + 25 \cdot 10 \cdot (2 - 3) + 5 \cdot 10 \\ &= 125 \cdot \chi(Y \setminus A) - 200, \end{aligned}$$

thus $\chi(Y \setminus A) = 1$ and

$$\chi(Y) = \chi(Y \setminus A) + \chi(A) = 1 + 10 \cdot 2 - 2 \cdot 10 = 1.$$

The map ϕ exactly divides out the action of the group G on X_μ . Thus the quintic Y_μ is a model for the mirror X_μ/G of X_μ . The resolution of singularities of X_μ/G has been discussed in [8]. The singular lines are lines of A_4 singularities. The 10 intersection points of singular lines look locally like the quotient $\mathbb{C}^3/H$ where the group $H \cong \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3), \xi_1^5 = \xi_2^5 = \xi_3^5 = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 = 1\}$ acts diagonally on $\mathbb{C}^3$. One choice of resolution is the following:

Blow up the 10 intersection points of the singular lines. This produces three exceptional divisors for each point and 30 lines of A_1 singularities where two of these divisors intersect.

Blow up the 10 lines of A_4 singularities and the 30 lines of A_1 singularities. This produces $50 = 2 \cdot 10 + 1 \cdot 30$ new exceptional divisors.

Blow up the remaining singular curves (intersection of divisors coming from the blowup of the lines of A_4 singularities). This produces $20 = 2 \cdot 10$ new exceptional divisors.

Now the singular locus consists of $60 = 6 \cdot 10$ nodes (2 nodes on each exceptional divisor from the first step) which can be resolved by a projective small resolution (they are contained in the strict transforms of some of the 5 planes given by $x_i = x_j + x_k + x_l + x_m = 0$, $\{i, j, k, l, m\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$).

Denote by $\tilde{Y}_\mu$ such a resolution of all the singularities of Y_μ . The Euler characteristic and Hodge numbers of $\tilde{Y}_\mu$ are

$$\chi(\tilde{Y}_\mu) = \chi(Y_\mu) + 200 = 200, \quad h^{2,1}(\tilde{Y}_\mu) = 1, \quad h^{1,1}(\tilde{Y}_\mu) = 100.$$

On $Y = Y_1$ there is the additional node $(1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1)$. It is the image of the 125 nodes of the Schoen quintic X under the map ϕ . On X the node $(1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1)$ is contained in the smooth quadric surface Q ; thus on Y the node $(1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1)$ is contained in the smooth surface $\phi(Q)$ (which does not meet the singular lines) so there exist projective small resolutions. Let $\tilde{Y}$ denote such a small resolution of (all the singularities of) Y . The Euler characteristic of $\tilde{Y}$ is

$$\chi(\tilde{Y}) = \chi(Y) + 200 + 1 = 202.$$

The Hodge numbers of $\tilde{Y}$ are

$$h^{1,1}(\tilde{Y}) = 101, \quad h^{2,1}(\tilde{Y}) = 0.$$

Investigating the arithmetic of $\tilde{Y}$ in detail (cf. [7]) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\text{Frob}_p^* | H_{\text{et}}^3(\tilde{Y}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) &= a_p \\ &= \begin{cases} p^3 + p^2 + 1 - \#Y_p, & p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}, \\ p^3 + p^2 + 2p + 1 - \#Y_p, & p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The same weight four newform as for $\tilde{X}$ has to occur in the cohomology of $\tilde{Y}$ because the map ϕ defines a correspondence between the two varieties.

4. GENERALIZATIONS

The quintic is one of the 13 hypergeometric threefolds with $h^{1,1} = 1$ listed in section 6.4 of [1]. It is tempting to ask if similarly nice equations can be produced for the mirrors of some of the other cases. We will investigate one example, namely the complete intersection of two cubics in $\mathbb{P}^5$.

Let the threefold $V_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 &= 3\lambda x_3 x_4 x_5, \\ x_3^3 + x_4^3 + x_5^3 &= 3\lambda x_0 x_1 x_2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a complete intersection which is invariant under the group $\tilde{G}$ (of order 81) of transformations $g_{\alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon, \mu}$ where $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$, and $\mu \equiv \alpha + \beta \equiv \delta + \epsilon \pmod{3}$ (Note the misprint in [6]). These transformations act as

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\alpha, \beta, \delta, \epsilon, \mu} : (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5) \\ \mapsto (\xi_3^\alpha \xi_9^\mu x_0 : \xi_3^\beta \xi_9^\mu x_1 : \xi_9^\mu x_2 : \xi_3^{-\delta} \xi_9^{-\mu} x_3 : \xi_3^{-\epsilon} \xi_9^{-\mu} x_4 : \xi_9^{-\mu} x_5) \end{aligned}$$

where ξ_i is a fixed primitive i -th root of unity. For generic λ the variety V_λ is a smooth Calabi–Yau threefold with Euler characteristic $\chi(V_\lambda) = -144$. Libgober and Teitelbaum ([6]) show that the mirror partner of V_λ can be described as a resolution of the quotient $V_\lambda/\tilde{G}$.

In analogy to the case of the quintic we can study the complete intersection $W_\lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0 + x_1 + x_2)^3 &= (3\lambda)^3 x_3 x_4 x_5, \\ (x_3 + x_4 + x_5)^3 &= (3\lambda)^3 x_0 x_1 x_2, \end{aligned}$$

but in this case the restriction of the map

$$\psi : \mathbb{P}^5 \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5, \quad (x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4 : x_5) \mapsto (x_0^3 : x_1^3 : x_2^3 : x_3^3 : x_4^3 : x_5^3)$$

to $V_\lambda \longrightarrow W_\lambda$ does not divide out the whole group $\tilde{G}$ but only a subgroup $\tilde{H}$ with 27 elements so that (a resolution of) W_λ is not the mirror of V_λ . The action of $\tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$ on W_λ is multiplication of x_0, x_1, x_2 by ξ_3 .

Consider the coordinate change

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\mapsto x_0 + x_1 + x_2, & x_1 &\mapsto x_0 + \xi_3 x_1 + \xi_3^2 x_2, & x_2 &\mapsto x_0 + \xi_3^2 x_1 + \xi_3 x_2, \\ x_3 &\mapsto x_3 + x_4 + x_5, & x_4 &\mapsto x_3 + \xi_3 x_4 + \xi_3^2 x_5, & x_5 &\mapsto x_3 + \xi_3^2 x_4 + \xi_3 x_5. \end{aligned}$$

In these new coordinates W_λ is given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^3 &= \lambda^3(x_3^3 + x_4^3 + x_5^3 - 3x_3x_4x_5), \\ x_3^3 &= \lambda^3(x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 - 3x_0x_1x_2), \end{aligned}$$

or, after setting $\nu = 1/\lambda^3$ for convenience, by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_3^3 + x_4^3 + x_5^3 - \nu x_0^3 &= 3x_3x_4x_5, \\ x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 - \nu x_3^3 &= 3x_0x_1x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the map ψ again we obtain the quotient $\tilde{W}_\nu$ of W_λ given by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (x_3 + x_4 + x_5 - \nu x_0)^3 &= 3^3 x_3x_4x_5, \\ (x_0 + x_1 + x_2 - \nu x_3)^3 &= 3^3 x_0x_1x_2. \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately this way we divide out more than the group $\tilde{G}/\tilde{H}$ so that (a resolution of) $\tilde{W}_\nu$ is a quotient of the mirror of V_λ .

Question 4.1. *Given a mirror pair of Calabi–Yau threefolds such that one of them can be described as a complete intersection in a certain weighted projective space. Under which conditions is there a description of the mirror as (a resolution of) a complete intersection of the same type?*

REFERENCES

- [1] Batyrev, V., van Straten, D., *Generalized hypergeometric functions and rational curves on Calabi–Yau complete intersections in toric geometry*, Comm. Math. Phys. **168** (1995), pp. 493–533.
- [2] Candelas, P., de la Ossa, X., Green, P., Parkes, L., *A pair of Calabi–Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal field theory*, Nuclear Physics **B450** (1995), pp. 21–74, and in *Essays on Mirror Manifolds* (Yau, S.-T., ed.), International Press, Hongkong (1992), pp. 31–95.
- [3] Candelas, P., de la Ossa, X., Rodriguez-Villegas, F., *Calabi–Yau manifolds over finite fields I*, preprint (2000), hep-th/0012233.
- [4] Candelas, P., de la Ossa, X., Rodriguez-Villegas, F., *Calabi–Yau manifolds over finite fields II*, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on “Calabi–Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry”*, Fields Institute, Toronto, July 23–29, 2001, eds. Yui, N., Lewis, J. D., Fields Inst. Comm. Series **38** (2003), AMS, pp. 121–157.

- [5] Cox, D. A., Katz, S., *Mirror Symmetry and Algebraic Geometry*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **68** (1999), AMS.
- [6] Libgober, A., Teitelbaum, J., *Lines on Calabi–Yau complete intersections, mirror symmetry, and Picard-Fuchs equations*, Int. Math. Research Notices **1** (1993), pp. 29–39.
- [7] Meyer, C., *A dictionary of modular threefolds*, thesis, Mainz (2005).
- [8] Morrison, D. R., *Mirror Symmetry and Rational Curves on Quintic Threefolds: A Guide for Mathematicians*, J. of the AMS **6**, no. 1 (1993), pp. 223–247.
- [9] Schoen, C., *On the geometry of a special determinantal hypersurface associated to the Mumford–Horrocks vector bundle*, J. Reine Angew. Math **364** (1986), pp. 85–111.
- [10] Werner, J., *Kleine Auflösungen spezieller dreidimensionaler Varietäten*, Bonner mathematische Schriften **186** (1987).

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, JOHANNES GUTENBERG-UNIVERSITÄT, STAUDINGERWEG 9, D–55099 MAINZ, GERMANY
E-mail address: `cm@mathematik.uni-mainz.de`